UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/551,422	09/30/2005	Ashok C. Patel	PAT 53958W-2	3858
	7590 10/09/2008 DEN LADNER GERVAIS LLP Kinsman LD EXCHANGE PLAZA UEEN STREET SUITE 1100 LWA, ON K1P 1J9	EXAMINER		
Anne Kinsman			HOLLIDAY, JAIME MICHELE	
WORLD EXCHANGE PLAZA 100 QUEEN STREET SUITE 1100			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
OTTAWA, ON K1P 1J9 CANADA			2617	
CANADA				EXAMINER HOLLIDAY, JAIME MICHELE T UNIT PAPER NUMBER 2617 ATION DATE DELIVERY MODE
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/09/2008	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

ipinfo@blgcanada.com aarmstrongbaker@blgcanada.com akinsman@blgcanada.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/551,422	PATEL, ASHOK C.		
Examiner-initiated interview duminary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	JAIME M. HOLLIDAY	2617		
All Participants:	Status of Application:			
(1) JAIME M. HOLLIDAY.	(3)			
(2) Mukundan Chakrapani (Reg. No. 60,879).	(4)			
Date of Interview: <u>1 October 2008</u>	Time:			
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Yes, provide a brief description:	ant's representative)			
Part I.				
Rejection(s) discussed: N/A				
Claims discussed: N/A				
Prior art documents discussed: N/A				
Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENE See Continuation Sheet	RAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS	S DISCUSSED:		
Part III.				
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 				
/VINCENT P. HARPER/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2617				
(A	applicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)		

Application No. 10/551,422

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicant's representative and Examiner discussed the notice of non-compliant amendment mailed 9/10/2008. Applicant's representative notified current Examiner that the previous Examiner did not examine the correct set of claims, and that this issue was addressed with the previous Examiner. The present Examiner of record discussed the case with her SPE and a SPRE. It was concluded the the correct set of the claims (original) were the Article 34 amendment claims. Therefore, the notice of non-compliant was incorrect. Applicant's representative will resubmit previous claims and arguments..